(61)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. No. 6137 of 2005

Petitioner		Komal Dewangan, S/o. Shri Bhaiya Ram Dewangan, Aged about 18 years, Occupation Student, Through Guardian Father – Bhaiyaram Dewangan, S/o. Late Dayaram Dewangan, Aged about 50 years, R/o Village Birra, P.S. Jaijaipur, Tahsil Champa, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
	Versus-	
Respondents	1.	State of Chhattisgarh, Through – the Secretary, School Education Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur
	2.	Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education, Raipur, Through its Secretary, Pension Bada, Raipur.
	3.	The Chairman, C.G. Board of Secondary Education, pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)
	4.	The Center Incharge of Examination, Saraswati Shishu Mandir, Higher Secondary School, Birra, Tahsil Champa, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
	5.	The Center Incharge of Valuation (Unknown) Through C.G. Board of Secondary Education, Pension Bada, Raipur (C.G.)
	6.	The Examination Center Incharge, C.G. Board of Secondary Education, Raipur (C.G.)
	7.	The Principal, Saraswati Shishu Mandir, Higher Secondary School, Birra, Tahsil Champa, Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

SB: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

Shri Ashok Kumar Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri S. K. Mishra, Panel Lawyer for the respondent No.1/State.

Shri H. B. Agarwal, Senior Advocate with Ms. Sangeeta Mishra, Advocate for the respondents No. 2, 3, 5 and 6.

---

(62)

ORDER

(Passed on this 26th day of October, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the answer sheet of the petitioner in subject - physics of class 12th of the Higher Secondary School Certificate Examination (10+2) 2005, conducted by the Chhattisgarh Board of Secondary Education, Raipur (for short 'the Board') has been changed.
2. The respondent/Board has produced the answer sheet. On perusal I do not find any change in the answer sheet.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that there is change in the writing in some pages of the answer sheets, which is not the handwriting of the petitioner. This cannot be examined by this Court under writ jurisdiction, as this is the question of facts. However, the petitioner may make a representation to the authorities concerned raising his grievance, in turn the respondent/Board may examine the allegations of the petitioner and pass appropriate order, in accordance with law.
4. In view of the foregoing this petition stands disposed of. No order asto costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur